

Statement of Shannon's Noisy Coding Theorem for the BSC

Consider a BSC with error probability $f < 1/2$. This channel has capacity $C = 1 - H_2(f)$.

For any desired closeness to capacity, $\eta > 0$, and for any desired limit on error probability, $\epsilon > 0$, there is a code of some length N whose rate, R , is at least $C - \eta$, and for which the probability that nearest neighbor decoding will decode a codeword incorrectly is less than ϵ .

I'll now give a proof of this, which more-or-less follows the proof for general channels in Chapter 10 of MacKay's book.

Strategy for Proving the Theorem

Rather than showing how to construct a specific code for given values of f , η , and ϵ , we will consider choosing a code of a suitable length, N , and rate $\log_2(M)/N$, by picking M codewords *at random* from Z_2^N .

We consider the following scenario:

1. We randomly pick a code, \mathcal{C} , which we give to both the sender and the receiver.
2. The sender randomly picks a codeword $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$, and transmits it through the channel.
3. The channel randomly generates an error pattern, \mathbf{n} , and delivers $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$ to the receiver.
4. The receiver decodes \mathbf{y} to a codeword, \mathbf{x}^* , that is nearest to \mathbf{y} in Hamming distance.

If the probability that this process leads to $\mathbf{x}^* \neq \mathbf{x}$ is less than ϵ , then there must be some specific code with error probability less than ϵ .

Rearranging the Order of Choices

It will be convenient to rearrange the order in which random choices are made, as follows:

1. We randomly pick *one* codeword, \mathbf{x} , which is the one the sender transmits.
2. The channel randomly generates an error pattern, \mathbf{n} , that is added to \mathbf{x} to give the received data, \mathbf{y} . Let the number of transmission errors (ie, ones in \mathbf{n}) be w .
3. We now randomly pick the other $M-1$ codewords. If the Hamming distance from \mathbf{y} of all these codewords is greater than w , nearest-neighbor decoding will make the correct choice.

The probability of the decoder making the wrong choice here is the same as before.

The Typical Number of Errors

If N is large, we expect that close to Nf of the N bits in a codeword will be received in error. In other words, we expect the error vector, \mathbf{n} , to contain close to Nf ones.

Specifically, the Law of Large Numbers tells us that for any $\beta > 0$, there is some value for N such that if w is the number of errors in \mathbf{n} ,

$$P(f - \beta < w/N < f + \beta) \geq 1 - \epsilon/2$$

We'll say that error vectors, \mathbf{n} , for which $f - \beta < w/N < f + \beta$ are "typical".

How Many Typical Error Vectors Are There?

How many error vectors, \mathbf{n} , for which $f - \beta < w/N < f + \beta$ are there?

If \mathbf{n} is such a typical error pattern with w errors, then

$$P(\mathbf{n}) = f^w(1-f)^{N-w} > f^{N(f+\beta)}(1-f)^{N(1-f-\beta)}$$

Let J be the number of typical error vectors. Since the total probability of all these vectors must not exceed one, we must have

$$J f^{N(f+\beta)}(1-f)^{N(1-f-\beta)} < 1$$

and hence

$$J < f^{-N(f+\beta)}(1-f)^{-N(1-f-\beta)}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} J &< 2^{N(-(f+\beta)\log_2(f)-(1-f-\beta)\log_2(1-f))} \\ &< 2^{N(H_2(f)+\beta\log_2((1-f)/f))} \end{aligned}$$

Decoding with Typical Error Patterns

The probability that the codeword nearest to \mathbf{y} is the correct decoding will be at least as great as the probability that the following sub-optimal decoder decodes correctly:

If there is exactly one codeword \mathbf{x}^* for which $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^*$ has a typical number of ones, then decode to \mathbf{x}^* , otherwise declare that decoding has failed.

This sub-optimal decoder can fail in two ways:

- The correct decoding, \mathbf{x} , may correspond to an error pattern, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$, that is not typical.
- Some other codeword, \mathbf{x}' , may exist for which the error pattern $\mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}'$ is typical.

Bounding the Probability of Failure (I)

The total probability of decoding failure is less than the sum of the probabilities of failing in these two ways. We will try to limit each of these to $\epsilon/2$.

We can choose N to be big enough that

$$P(f - \beta < w/N < f + \beta) \geq 1 - \epsilon/2$$

This ensures that the actual error pattern will be non-typical with probability less than $\epsilon/2$.

We now need to limit the probability that some other codeword also corresponds to a typical error pattern.

Bounding the Probability of Failure (II)

The number of typical error patterns is

$$J < 2^{N(H_2(f)+\beta\log_2((1-f)/f))}$$

For a random codeword, \mathbf{x} , other than the one actually transmitted, the corresponding error pattern given \mathbf{y} will contain 0s and 1s that are independent and equally likely.

The probability that one such codeword will produce a typical error pattern is therefore

$$J/2^N < 2^{-N(1-H_2(f)-\beta\log_2((1-f)/f))}$$

The probability that *any* of the other $M - 1$ codewords will correspond to a typical error pattern is bounded by M times this. We need this to be less than $\epsilon/2$, ie

$$M 2^{-N(1-H_2(f)-\beta\log_2((1-f)/f))} < \epsilon/2$$

Finishing the Proof

Finally, we need to pick β , M , and N so that the two types of error have probabilities less than $\epsilon/2$, and the rate, R is at least $C - \eta$.

We will let $M = 2^{\lceil (C-\eta)N \rceil}$, and make sure N is large enough that $R = \lceil (C - \eta)N \rceil / N < C$.

With this value of M , we need

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\lceil (C-\eta)N \rceil} 2^{-N(1-H_2(f)-\beta \log_2((1-f)/f))} \\ &= 2^{-N(1-H_2(f)-\lceil (C-\eta)N \rceil / N - \beta \log_2((1-f)/f))} \end{aligned}$$

to be less than $\epsilon/2$.

The channel capacity is $C = 1 - H_2(f)$, so that $1 - H_2(f) - \lceil (C - \eta)N \rceil / N = C - R$ is positive.

For a sufficiently small value of β , $1 - H_2(f) - \lceil (C - \eta)N \rceil / N - \beta \log_2((1 - f)/f)$ will also be positive. With this β and a large enough N , the probabilities of both types of error will be less than $\epsilon/2$, so the total error probability will be less than ϵ .