## Analytically-Tractable Bayesian Models

## Conjugate Prior Distributions

For most Bayesian inference problems, the integrals needed to do inference and prediction are not analytically tractable - hence the need for numerical quadrature, Monte Carlo methods, or various approximations.

Most of the exceptions involve conjugate priors, which combine nicely with the likelihood to give a posterior distribution of the same form. Examples:

1) Independent observations from a finite set, with Beta / Dirichlet priors.
2) Independent observations of Gaussian variables with Gaussian prior for the mean, and either known variance or inverse-Gamma prior for the variance.
3) Linear regression with Gaussian prior for the regression coefficients, and Gaussian noise, with known variance or inverse-Gamma prior for the variance.

It's nice when a tractable model and prior are appropriate for the problem. Unfortunatley, people are tempted to use such models and priors even when they aren't appropriate.

## Independent Binary Observations with Beta Prior

We observe binary $(0 / 1)$ variables $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$.
We model these as being independent, and identically distributed, with

$$
P\left(Y_{i}=y \mid \mu\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mu & \text { if } y=1 \\
1-\mu & \text { if } y=0
\end{array}\right\}=\mu^{y}(1-\mu)^{1-y}
$$

Let's suppose that our prior distribution for $\mu$ is $\operatorname{Beta}(a, b)$, with $a$ and $b$ being known postive reals. With this prior, the probability density over $(0,1)$ of $\mu$ is:

$$
P(\mu)=\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1}(1-\mu)^{b-1}
$$

Here, the Gamma function, $\Gamma(c)$, is defined to be $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{c-1} \exp (-x) d x$.
For integer $c, \Gamma(c)=(c-1)$ !.
Note that when $a=b=1$ the prior is uniform over $(0,1)$.
The prior mean of $\mu$ is $a /(a+b)$. $\operatorname{Big} a$ and $b$ give smaller prior variance.

## Posterior Distribution with Beta Prior

With this Beta prior, the posterior distribution is also Beta:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\mu \mid Y_{1}\right. & \left.=y_{1}, Y_{2}=y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}=y_{n}\right) \\
& \propto P(\mu) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(Y_{i}=y_{i} \mid \mu\right) \\
& \propto \mu^{a-1}(1-\mu)^{b-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu^{y_{i}}(1-\mu)^{1-y_{i}} \\
& \propto \mu^{\Sigma y_{i}+a-1}(1-\mu)^{n-\Sigma y_{i}+b-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the posterior distribution is $\operatorname{Beta}\left(\sum y_{i}+a, n-\sum y_{i}+b\right)$.
One way this is sometimes visualized is as the prior being equivalent to $a$ fictitious observations with $Y=1$ and $b$ fictitious observations with $Y=0$.

Note that all that is used from the data is $\sum y_{i}$, which is a minimal sufficient statistic, whose values are in one-to-one correspondence with possible likelihood functions (ignoring constant factors).

Examples of Beta Priors and Posteriors


## Predictive Distribution from Beta Posterior

From the $\operatorname{Beta}\left(\sum y_{i}+a, n-\sum y_{i}+b\right)$ posterior distribution, we can make a probabilitistic prediction for the next observation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(Y_{n+1}\right. & \left.=1 \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, Y_{2}=y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}=y_{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} P\left(Y_{n+1}=1 \mid \mu\right) P\left(\mu \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, Y_{2}=y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}=y_{n}\right) d \mu \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \mu P\left(\mu \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, Y_{2}=y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}=y_{n}\right) d \mu \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \mu \frac{\Gamma(n+a+b)}{\Gamma\left(\Sigma y_{i}+a\right) \Gamma\left(n-\Sigma y_{i}+b\right)} \mu^{\Sigma y_{i}+a-1}(1-\mu)^{n-\Sigma y_{i}+b-1} d \mu \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(n+a+b)}{\Gamma\left(\Sigma y_{i}+a\right) \Gamma\left(n-\Sigma y_{i}+b\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\Sigma y_{i}+a\right) \Gamma\left(n-\Sigma y_{i}+b\right)}{\Gamma(1+n+a+b)} \\
& =\frac{\sum y_{i}+a}{n+a+b}
\end{aligned}
$$

This uses the fact that $c \Gamma(c)=\Gamma(1+c)$.

## Generalizing to More Than Two Values

For i.i.d. observations with a finite number, $K$, of possible values, with $K>2$, the conjugate prior for the probabilities $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{K}$ is the Dirichlet distribution, with the following density on the simplex where all $\mu_{k}>0$ and $\sum \mu_{k}=1$ :

$$
P\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{K}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)}{\Pi_{k} \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mu_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}
$$

The parameters $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{K}$ can be any positive reals.
The posterior distribution after observing $n$ items, with $m_{1}$ having value $1, m_{2}$ having value 2 , etc. is Dirichlet with parameters $\alpha_{1}+m_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{K}+m_{K}$.

The predictive distribution for item $n+1$ is

$$
P\left(Y_{n+1}=k \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{K}=y_{k}\right)=\frac{m_{k}+\alpha_{k}}{n+\Sigma \alpha_{k}}
$$

## Independent Observations from a Gaussian Distribution

We observe real variables $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$.
We model these as being independent, all from some Gaussian distribution with unknown mean, $\mu$, and known variance, $\sigma^{2}$.

The conjugate prior for $\mu$ is Gaussian with some mean $\mu_{0}$ and variance $\sigma_{0}^{2}$.
Rather than talk about the variance, it is more convenient to talk about the precision, equal to the reciprocal of the variance. A data point has precision $\tau=1 / \sigma^{2}$ and the prior has precision $\tau_{0}=1 / \sigma_{0}^{2}$.

The posterior distribution for $\mu$ is also Gaussian, with precision $\tau_{n}=\tau_{0}+n \tau$, and with mean

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{\tau_{0} \mu_{0}+n \tau \bar{y}}{\tau_{0}+n \tau}
$$

where $\bar{y}$ is the sample mean of the observatons $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$.
The predictive distribution for $Y_{n+1}$ is Gaussian with mean $\mu_{n}$ and variance $\left(1 / \tau_{n}\right)+\sigma^{2}$.

## Gaussian with Unknown Variance

What if both the mean and the variance (precision) of the Gaussian distribution for $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are unknown?

There is still a conjugate prior, but in it, $\mu$ and $\tau$ are dependent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau & \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(a, b) \\
\mu \mid \tau & \sim N\left(\mu_{0}, c / \tau\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $a, b$, and $c$.
It's hard to imagine circumstances where our prior information about $\mu$ and $\tau$ would have a dependence of this sort. But unfortunately, people use this conjugate prior anyway, because it's convenient.

